

Type of cases deemed not urgent by courts

NAME	CONTEXT	DEEMED NOT URGENT
<i>Theis v. Theis</i> 2020 ONSC 2001	Wife wanted payment of her \$85,000 from the share of proceeds held in trust from the matrimonial home.	Not urgent. No evidence of dire financial circumstances.
<i>Douglas v. Douglas</i> endorsement. (Distinguished in <i>Skuce v. Skuce</i> 2020 ONSC 1881 at paragraph 35 on the grounds that in <i>Douglas</i> there was no court order, only a status quo arrangement. <i>Skuce</i> deemed the same situation to be urgent. But see <i>Zee v. Quon</i> endorsement (pdf) by Justice E. Llana Nakonechny, where there was no court order.)	Custodial parent denied access parent time with the child citing COVID concerns.	Not urgent. Did not fit urgency criteria. No indication that child's safety at risk.
<i>Eden v. Eden</i> 2020 ONSC 1991	Rotating access by the parents to the matrimonial home with the children remaining in the home.	Not urgent. Safety of child or parent not at risk.
<i>Reitzel v. Reitzel</i> 2020 ONSC 1977	Father seeking access to the children aged 12 and 14 years old.	Not urgent. Father delayed in bringing his motion for access. Waited six months to do so. Parental alienation also present.
<i>Onuoha v. Onuoha</i> 2020 ONSC 1815	Father seeking return of children that mother took from their home country without his consent.	Not urgent. Although a wrongful removal case, widespread world travel restrictions in place. Order not likely to be implemented.